

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,640	04/13/2001	Kenjiro Watanabe	SON-2070	3083
23353 75	590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			HINDI, NABIL Z	
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2655	7
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
*	09/833,640	WATANABE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NABIL Z HINDI	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frontatute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	12 March 2004.				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 7-9, 13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	» —	(870, 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/833,640

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's letter dated March 19, 2004. the following action is taken: Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1 and 7-14 is acknowledged by the examiner. The examiner agrees with applicant's argument that at least claim 1 is generic. Upon allowance of the generic, rejoinder of the remaining species with full examination of all the claims will proceed.

The drawings are objected to because none of the figures illustrates an optical recording medium having a conductive material as claimed in claim 13. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. None of the cited prior art shows or teaches an optical recording medium having a conductive material as claimed.

Claims 13 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "in the optical recording medium" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,640

Art Unit: 2655

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ueyanagi (6700856).

The reference shows a flying type optical head having an objective lens 11 having a conductive element whose diameter or width is smaller than the light beam spot (fig 10E) element 16 (metal mesh).

With respect to the limitation of claim 8 see element 11.

With respect to the limitation of claim 9 see fig 13B and it's description.

Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the cited prior art shows the use of an objective lens having an embedded conductive member supplied with a current, the member having a detection optical element and a reference optical element as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,640

Art Unit: 2655

Page 4

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Claim 12 is allowed.

None of the cited prior art shows a flying type optical head having a light source, splitting the light beam into two spots, disposing a conductive member in a position corresponding to one of the spots, taking the optical spot incident on the conductive element as a detection signal, while taking the other spot as a reference light in order to read data.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6434088.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z HINDI at telephone number (703) 308-1555.

NABIL HINDI RIMARY EXAMINER